UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,597	07/11/2006	Roger L. Kuhlman	63610B	7213
The Dow Chem	7590 03/15/201 rical Company	EXAMINER		
Intellectual Prop P.O. Box 1967		MULLIS, JEFFREY C		
Midland, MI 48	641-1967		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/15/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/585,597	KUHLMAN ET AL	KUHLMAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jeffrey C. Mullis	1796				
The MAILING DA [®] Period for Reply	TE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to con	nmunication(s) filed on <u>25 N</u> o	ovember 2009					
2a) ☐ This action is FIN	· · · <u>_</u>						
/ <u>—</u>	,—						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
olooca III addordal	loc with the practice ander E	x parte Quayre, 1000 c	7. D . 11, 400 O. G . 210.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/aı	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above c	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-10</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 1</u>	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 11-25</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/	are objected to.						
8)☐ Claim(s) ar	e subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
·	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.03(a).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	•						
<u>. </u>		priority updor 25 U.S.C	\$ \$ 110(a) (d) or (f)				
•	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
· ·-	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<u>=</u>	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 ·	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)		🗖					
 Notice of References Cited (Notice of Draftsperson's Pate 			w Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Pater							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Art Unit: 1796

Claims 1-6 and 11-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear how "said olefin in the sidechain" can contain copolymerizable monomers in that olefins are not made up of monomers.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 and 11-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b or a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Torres et al. (either US 2004/0116610 or WO 02051893, cited by applicants).

Applicants provisional application 60/538355 requires the use of a chain end polyolefin which is specifically functionalized by amine, not broadly by a nucleophilic heteroatom and as such does not support the full scope of the claims even aside from the failure of applicants to properly claim benefit to any provisional application and applicants effective filing date is therefore 9-13-04. Torres '610 is therefore available as prior art under paragraph (a) of 35 USC 102. Torres '610 corresponds to Torres '893 and Torres '610 will be referred to since it is in English.

Torres discloses production of "graft" copolymers by a process requiring reaction of a functionalized polyolefin and a "mono-functional type oligomer" (abstract) said to be derived from olefinic monomers such as dienic monomers (paragraph 117) made by processes inherently introducing end fictionalization (paragraph 131 et seq). Note 4-methyl 1 pentene polyolefins at paragraph 63. Since the reference discloses branched olefinic polymers produced bt reaction of functionalized polyolefin and end functionalized polymers and the claims and reference encompass identical structures, similar or identical characteristics reasonably appear to be inherent.

When the reference discloses all the limitations of a claim except a property or function, and the Examiner cannot determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses properties which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention, basis exists for shifting the burden of proof to applicant. Note <u>In re Fitzgerald et al.</u> 619 F. 2d 67, 70, 205 USPQ 594, 596, (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § 2112-2112.02.

Claims 1-6 and 11-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kojoh (either US 2003/0023002 or EP1270647, cited by applicants).

It is noted that Kojoh US '002 is equivalent to EP '647 and that EP '647 is available under paragraph (b) of 35 USC 102 even if 60/538355 fully supported the instant claims and even if applicants had properly claimed benefit to any provisonla application.

Kojoh (US '002) discloses a "branched polyolefin" formed by reaction of an anhydride functionalized polyolefin and a terminally modified polyolefin (abstract). Note that paragraph 345 of the document discloses an example in which a reaction of a terminally aminated polyethylene with a malienated polyolefin takes place. Note that the polyolefin may be produced from 4-methyl-1-pentene at paragraphs 27 and 254.

When the reference discloses all the limitations of a claim except a property or function, and the Examiner cannot determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses properties which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention, basis exists for shifting the burden of proof to applicant. Note <u>In re Fitzgerald et al.</u> 619 F. 2d 67, 70, 205 USPQ 594, 596, (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § 2112-2112.02.

Claims 1-5 and 11-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Krom (US 7,056,979).

Page 5

Patentees disclose a reaction product of maleated polyolefin and amine terminated olefinic elastomers (column 2, lines 8-26).

When the reference discloses all the limitations of a claim except a property or function, and the Examiner cannot determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses properties which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention, basis exists for shifting the burden of proof to applicant. Note <u>In re Fitzgerald et al.</u> 619 F. 2d 67, 70, 205 USPQ 594, 596, (CCPA 1980). See MPEP § 2112-2112.02.

Applicant's arguments filed 8-31-09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Glass transition temperature is a function of the monomeric components of the polymer in question and not the method of polymerization. Polyolefins in general have very low glass transition temperatures and as polyolefins are disclosed in the prior art relied upon, applicants characteristic reasonably appear to be inherent. Torres teaches against production of an insoluble product at paragraph 105. Kojoh discloses a "soluble" product in paragraph 337 and the examiner sees no examples in Kojoh which would be expected to produce difunctional polymers for introduction of sidechains. Hydrocarbons containing carbon carbon double bonds are olefins as the term is used in the art and butadiene is therefore an olefin.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/585,597

Art Unit: 1796

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey C. Mullis

M-f, 9-5pm at telephone number 571 272 1075.

Jeffrey C. Mullis Primary Examiner Page 6

Art Unit 1796

JCM

3-11-2010

/Jeffrey C. Mullis/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

Application/Control Number: 10/585,597

Page 7

Art Unit: 1796